Radio Frequency Interference Detection, correction and excision.

Jonathon Kocz Swinburne University of Technology



RFI subtraction using a reference antenna.

RFI Subtraction

Astronomy + Interference



(Astronomy + Interference) – (Interference) = Astronomy

RFI Subtraction



RFI Subtraction – Experimental Setup Ref 1 - Yagi Main Receiver – Parkes 64m 150m -73m 37m 822

Ref 2 - 3m dish



Frequency [channels] (64MHz bandwidth, 7.8KHz channels)



Frequency [channels] (64MHz bandwidth, 7.8KHz channels)



Frequency [channels] (64MHz bandwidth, 7.8KHz channels)



Frequency [channels] (64MHz bandwidth, 7.8KHz channels)

9

Spatial Filtering with a multibeam receiver.

Spatial Filtering



Spatial Filtering



Correlation Matrix

Eigenvector - Direction Matrix

Eigenvalue - Power Matrix

Parkes Multibeam Experiment















Results



8MHz bandwidth, 32kHz channels

Results



8MHz bandwidth, 32kHz channels

Results



8MHz bandwidth, 32kHz channels

Vela



8MHz bandwidth, 16kHz channels

Vela



Risks!



(One timestep = 1ms)

Vela



Excision – Automated blanking

Berkeley Parkes Swinburne Recorder (BPSR)



8-bit, 64us time series data from 13 beams



J0410-3107



J0410-3107



J0410-3107 – Result Measures

- 1. Number of spurious RFI pulses:
 - Original: 18 RFI candidates
 - Current: 18 RFI candidates
 - SVD: 0 RFI candidates
- 2. Number candidate pulses:
 - 9 candidate pulses were found in each case
- 3. SNR candidate pulses:
 - Original: 26.33
 - Current: 25.67 (clipped the highest pulses)
 - SVD: 26.607









Conclusions

- Reference antennas work beautifully for postcorrelation data.
- Spatial filtering with a multibeam receiver is highly effective. SNR recovered to 70% of the interference free case.
- Correlation and decomposition techniques work nicely for more accurately determining the presence of RFI.